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Tuning the Underlying Platform is Important

Efficiency is the key

- Coherence is extremely fast – even with restricted resources
- Operational efficiency has many advantages
  - Better run-time performance
  - Fewer resources to manage
  - Fewer Oracle Coherence licenses to buy
- Remember to take a holistic when tuning
  - The hardware and the operating system
  - The Java Virtual Machine
  - Coherence configuration
  - Application code
  - Database tuning and optimisation
Tuning the Java Virtual Machine

Lots and lots and lots of options

• Current generation JVMs have many tuning options
  – Some will give small efficiencies
  – Some will give massive efficiencies

• Tuning your GC to minimise pause time will be key
  – Reduce the number of Full GCs
  – Reduce the latency overhead of GCs

• Long GCs are disastrous for a distributed caches such as Coherence

• Understand your latency requirements and work towards them

• You will have to make compromises in some way
Generational Garbage Collection

Employed by all HotSpot GC algorithms

- The majority of JVMs use generational collectors
- The heap is split into “generations”
  - Young, newly created objects
  - Old, longer lived objects
- Weak generational hypothesis
  - Proved by observation and it’s extremely accurate for Java Applications
- Most objects are very short lived
  - 80-98% of all newly allocated objects die within a few million instructions
  - 80-98% of all newly allocated objects die before another megabyte has been allocated
Some Quick Words on Garbage Collectors

Dealing with the rubbish

• There are two classes of GC algorithms in Java
  – The Throughput Collectors
  – The Low Pause (latency) Collectors

• Throughput collectors are the default
  – They reorganise the old heap during a collection
  – They are not suitable for Coherence

• CMS is a low pause collector
  – Aims to keep application pauses to a minimum
  – Is a suitable collector for Coherence

• G1 is still in development – do not use for Coherence today *
The Java Heap Layout
For all collector algorithms

* Young Space is composed of Eden and the two Survivor Spaces.
** Perm Space is going away!
Compacted Old Space

Throughput Collectors – Serial and Parallel (and G1)
Fragmented Old Space
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“You may think it’s impossible to run large heaps with CMS on restricted hardware. This is simply not true, it’s very possible!”

Adrian Nakon

Coherence Architect, CMC Markets
A List of Stop the World Pauses

Know your enemy

1. Young space collections
2. Full GCs – All collectors
3. System GCs – Called via JMX or the application
4. CMS Initial Mark Phase
5. CMS Remark Phase
6. CMS Concurrent Mode Failure
Tuning the CMS Collector

The collector of choice for Coherence

- CMS will enable large heaps, even on restricted hardware
- CMS is not like the other collectors
  - Concurrent collections with multiple, small STW pauses
- Running with defaults can be fine for small heaps
- For larger heaps you need to consider tuning CMS for best results
- Should you use NIO or 64 bit JVMs?
  - CMS can perform very well with large heaps when correctly tuned
  - NIO has limitations and garbage collection a manual task
Tuning the CMS Collector

Good performance will take some thought

- A different collector is used for the Young Space
  - The ParNew Collector

- The aim is to minimise the STW pauses
  - The Young Space Collections
  - The Initial Mark
  - The Remark

- CMS is concurrent and will therefore require CPU
  - It will compete with your application during collections

- It fragments the Old Space
  - Object allocations are more complicated
Tuning the CMS Collector

The Weak Generational Hypothesis

- It is important to give objects the opportunity to die young
- Young Collections are fast and efficient
  - Only live objects are copied
  - Most objects will be dead (transient) so it is fast
  - Space is cleared quickly with minimal application pauses
- Sizing the Young ratio is key
  - Size the survivor spaces appropriately
  - Configure the Tenuring Threshold appropriately
  - Think about your cache expiry settings if appropriate (remember backups!)
Tuning the CMS Collector
Minimise the marking phases pause times

• Minimise your pause times
  – The Initial Mark Phase
  – The Remark Phase

• CMS has to scan Young Space to look for relationships
• If Young Space is not empty this will take time
• You can instruct CMS to wait for a Young GC before starting
• An empty Young Space will dramatically reduce marking times
Tuning the CMS Collector

Worst case scenario

• Concurrent Mode Failure
  – All bets are off
  – No new objects can be allocated into the Old Space
  – The heap will be compacted to recover fragmented space
  – This may take some time, grab a coffee

• Sizing your heap correctly is key to avoiding this
  – Undersized heaps will make CMS work overtime

• Allowing objects to die in the young space will help avoid this
  – Remember The Weak Generational Hypothesis
  – Most objects die young and can be collected easily
Recommended Settings

There may be some more, HotSpot has many

• Limited CPU resource results in ...
  – Fewer JVM’s per server (less possible context switching)
  – Strive to keep as much garbage out of tenured space as possible
  – Maximum size of Young Space is limited by Young Gen collection time.

• Low latency requirements
  – Ensure Young gens and CMS operations (mark / remark phases) are tightly integrated.

• Large data heaps required
  – Use 64 bit JVM

• Every Application is different, do not just rely on the default JVM settings
Recommended Base Settings

Generic JVM settings

- `-verbose:gc`
- `-XX:+UseConcMarkSweepGC`
- `-XX:+UseParNewGC`
- `-XX:+HeapDumpOnOutOfMemoryError`
- `-XX:HeapDumpPath=coherence/logs/<filename>`
- `-XX:+UseNUMA`
Recommended Logging Settings

Logging related JVM settings

- `-XX:+PrintGCDetails`
- `-XX:+PrintGCTimeStamps`
- `-XX:+PrintGCDateStamps`
- `-XX:+PrintTenuringDistribution`
- `-Xloggc:/opt/oracle/admin/coherence/logs/<filename>`
Recommended CMS Settings

CMS tuning JVM Settings

- `-XX:MaxTenuringThreshold=15`
- `-XX:CMSWaitDuration=300000`
- `-XX:+CMSScavengeBeforeRemark`
- `-XX:CMSInitiatingOccupancyFraction=65`
- `-XX:+UseCMSInitiatingOccupancyOnly`
- `-XX:SurvivorRatio=4`
- `-Xms<x>m  -Xmx<x>m  -Xmn<y>m`
Sizing your heap

The Goldilocks Heap

• You’re looking for The Goldilocks Heap
  – Not too small
  – Not too big
  – Just right

• Profile your applications and it’s object allocation and de-allocation
  – Coherence – Caches, expiry, processing, proxies, monitoring, etc.

• You have control over
  – Initial and maximum overall heap size
  – Perm space size
  – Young space/old space ratio
  – Survivor spaces/young space ratio
“If your heap is 80% full after a full GC then your application performance will drop off a cliff.”

Andrew Wilson
Coherence Architect, RBS
Sizing Your Heap

Memory usage and throughput

![Graph showing memory usage and throughput with labels: Number of aggregated objects (green line) and OutOfMemory (red line).]
Sizing the Young Space
If possible, allow objects to die young

• Remember the Weak Generational Hypothesis
  – The vast majority of objects die very young

• Young collections are cheaper than old

• You need to meet the following criteria
  – Make the young space large enough so objects die young
  – Do not make the young space too large – long GCs

• It’s a balancing act
  – You need to understand your application’s memory profile
About Survivor Spaces

Wait for short-lived objects to die

- Survivor spaces give objects more opportunity to die
- You have full control over this
- You can set the Survivor Space Ratio
  - `XX:SurvivorRatio=<n>`
- You can set the Maximum Tenuring Threshold (number of swaps)
  - `XX:MaxTenuringThreshold=15`
- If you get this right
  - Your young GCs will remain efficient
  - More objects will die in young
Tenuring Distributions

The flow of objects through the survivor spaces

[GC 526703.667: [ParNew

Desired survivor size 53673984 bytes, new threshold 8 (max 8)
- age 1: 19709184 bytes, 19709184 total
- age 2: 382384 bytes, 20091568 total
- age 3: 435072 bytes, 20526640 total
- age 4: 486544 bytes, 21013184 total
- age 5: 725872 bytes, 21739056 total
- age 6: 541144 bytes, 22280200 total
- age 7: 741464 bytes, 23021664 total
- age 8: 523912 bytes, 23545576 total

: 852844K->26740K(943744K), 0.1001560 secs] 2780990K->1959523K(8283776K),
0.1003690 secs] [Times: user=0.26 sys=0.00, real=0.10 secs]
Tools

There are many tools, some free, some not.

OS Level Tools
- sar - ksar
- vmstat
- iostat
- Free
- nmon

Log management tools
- vi, more, less, grep
- GCViewer
- Splunk
- Logscape
- LogMX

Java Tools
- -verbose:gc
- gcstat
- jvisualvm
- jconsole

Payware Tools
- Oracle Enterprise Manager
- Wily Introscope (CA)
- ITRS Geneos
- SL RTView
- Evident Clearstone
Further Reading
Lots of good material out there

“A Generational Mostly-concurrent Garbage Collector” by Tony Printezis and David Detlefs – The guys who wrote CMS!

The Garbage First (G1) Collector

The next generation HotSpot Collector

- CMS Replacement (early access JRE 6 u14 onwards*)
- Server “Style” Garbage Collector
- Parallel
- Mostly Concurrent
- Generational
- Good Throughput
- Compacting
- Improved ease-of-use
- Predictable (though not hard real-time)
Colour Key for Heap Spaces

- Non-Allocated Space
- Young Generation
- Old Generation
- Recently Copied in Young Generation
- Recently Copied in Old Generation
Young GCs in CMS

- Young generation, split into
  - Eden
  - Survivor spaces
- Old generation
  - In-place de-allocation
  - Managed by free lists
  - Heap fragmentation
Young GCs in CMS

• End of young generation GC
Young GCs in G1

- Heap split into regions
  - Currently 1MB regions
- Young generation
  - A set of regions
- Old generation
  - A set of regions
Young GCs in G1

• During a young generation GC
  • Survivors from the young regions are evacuated to:
    • Survivor regions
    • Old regions
Young GCs in G1

- End of young generation GC
Summary: Young GCs in G1

• Single physical heap, split into regions
  • Set of contiguous regions allocated for large (“humongous”) objects
• No physically separate young generation
  • A set of (non-contiguous) regions
  • Very easy to resize
• Young GCs
  • Done with “evacuation pauses”
  • Stop-the-world
  • Parallel
  • Evacuate surviving objects from one set of regions to another
Old GCs in CMS (Sweeping After Marking)

- Concurrent marking phase
  - Two stop-the-world pauses
- Initial mark
- Remark
  - Marks reachable (live) objects
  - Unmarked objects are deduced to be unreachable (dead)
Old GCs in CMS (Sweeping After Marking)

- End of concurrent sweeping phase
- All unmarked objects are de-allocated
Old GCs in G1 (After Marking)

- Concurrent marking phase
  - One stop-the-world pause
- Remark
  - (Initial mark piggybacked on an evacuation pause)
    - Calculates liveness information per region
- Empty regions can be reclaimed immediately
Old GCs in G1 (After Marking)

- End of remark phase
Old GCs in G1 (After Marking)

- Reclaiming old regions
  - Pick regions with low live ratio
  - Collect
- Only a few old regions collected per such GC
Old GCs in G1 (After Marking)

- We might leave some garbage objects in the heap
  - In regions with very high live ratio
  - We might collect them later
CMS vs. G1 Comparison

CMS

G1
In Summary

Don’t just accept the defaults, every application differs

• Strive to keep garbage out of Tenured/Old Space

• Size young accordingly
  – Too big and your pauses will be too long
  – Too small and too much garbage will be tenured

• Think about your Survivor Spaces
  – Allow objects to die young
  – Look at the object distributions

• Synchronise young and old collections with CMS

• Overall Heap size is important
  – Don’t give the GCs too much work to do
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